

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 were pending and under consideration.

In the final Office Action of May 10, 2011, claims 1-24 were allowed. In “Response to Arguments” section, the Examiner further noted that “[c]laims 1-23 are hereby allowed; assuming the English translations of foreign priority documents are submitted and fully supports the instant application.” Further, claim 25 was objected to on grounds that the methods steps do not assert a control means to accomplish the vibration and/or reversed phases.

Applicant submits that the translation of the foreign priority document was submitted for the consideration by the Office on May 9, 2011. Claim 25 has now been amended in light of the Examiner’s comments, and is believed to be allowable. Namely, claim 25 now recites “using a control unit to control the frequency of the vibration of the vibrator,” similar to other independent claims.

Claims 13, 15, 16, and 22 have each been amended to make some corrections.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 9, 2011

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SNR Denton US LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Willis Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000